

## Guidance 1 Evidenced-Based Guidelines

**Contract Reference:** *Sections A-1.1 and A1-8*

**Frequency:** *Ongoing*

**Due Date:** *N/A*

**Discussion:**

For a program, practice or strategy to be considered evidence-based, it must be supported by research. Evidence-Based Programs (EBPs) are programs that have demonstrated effectiveness with established generalizability (replicated in different settings and with different populations over time) through research.

The best available research evidence is information derived from scientific inquiry that assists in determining if a program, practice or policy is achieving its intended outcomes. The more rigorous the evaluation in its research design (i.e. randomized control trials, quasi-experimental designs with matched comparisons groups), the more compelling the research evidence appears.

The Managing Entities and the Department mutually agree that for a program, practice or strategy to be considered an EBP, it must meet one of the following options. Following the selection of an option, the Network Service Provider must maintain sufficient documentation of registry selected for Option One and listed requirements for Option Two to support the decision.

Managing Entities are responsible for establishing EBP monitoring procedures and ensuring Network Service Providers have established policies to ensure EBP fidelity in Network Service Provider contracts.

- Option One

The proposed program or strategy is recognized by a national registry of evidence-based programs and strategies as one that is appropriate for the identified outcome. It is important to note that inclusion within a registry does not reflect a program's effectiveness. Programs and strategies must be reviewed for the intended target population, demographics, setting, and the research results for each program outcome. The proposed program or strategy must be included in a peer-reviewed publication using a rigorous evaluation research design with accepted scientific methods and found to produce statistically significant results, without any adverse effects. The following registries may be used to identify, evaluate, and select EBP programs and strategies:

Substance Abuse and Mental Health (SAMHSA) Evidence-Based Practices Resource Center  
<https://www.samhsa.gov/resource-search/ebp>

Title IV-E Prevention Services Clearinghouse  
<https://preventionservices.acf.hhs.gov/>

Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development  
<http://www.blueprintsprograms.com/>

Note: Each Blueprints program has been reviewed and determined to meet a clear set of scientific standards. It is recommended that at a minimum the programs identified as promising be selected.

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Model Programs Guide  
<https://ojjdp.ojp.gov/model-programs-guide/home>

Note: The descriptions of the research include a rating system with study classifications across four dimensions for each program. It is recommended that at a minimum the programs be identified as promising to be selected.

The California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare (CEBC)  
<http://www.cebc4cw.org/>

Suicide Prevention Resource Center (SPRC)  
<https://www.sprc.org/>

- Option Two

The proposed program or strategy is reported in peer-reviewed journals or has documented effectiveness which is supported by other sources of information and the consensus judgment of informed experts. When claiming this option, a Network Service Provider must include:

- A description of the theory of change and a logic model; and
- A discussion of how the content and structure of this proposed program or strategy is similar to programs or strategies that appear in an approved registry or in the peer-reviewed literature, or how it is based on sound scientific principles of community prevention or public health; and
- Documentation that the program or strategy was effectively implemented in the past, with results that show a consistent pattern of credible and positive effects, including:
  - the number of times it was implemented,
  - the fidelity with which it was implemented, and
  - the results of any outcome evaluations; and
- Documentation of a review by, and consent of, a Panel of Informed Experts indicating that the implementation of this proposed program or strategy is appropriate for the community and likely to have a positive effect on the identified outcome and what evidence their decision was based upon.

The following resources provide additional information for identifying and selecting evidence-based interventions:

The Guide to Community Preventive Services (The Community Guide)  
<https://www.thecommunityguide.org/about/about-community-guide>

National Institute on Drug Abuse Principles of Drug Addiction Treatment: A Research-Based Guide (Third Edition)  
[www.drugabuse.gov/publications/principles-drug-addiction-treatment-research-based-guide-third-edition](http://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/principles-drug-addiction-treatment-research-based-guide-third-edition)

Penn State Social Science Research Institute  
Results First™ Clearinghouse Database  
[Clearinghouse Database - Evidence-to-Impact Collaborative \(psu.edu\)](http://clearinghouse.database.evidence-to-impact.collaborative.psu.edu)

Substance Abuse and Mental Health (SAMHSA) Evidence-Based Practices Resource Center  
[https://www.samhsa.gov/resource-search/ebp](http://www.samhsa.gov/resource-search/ebp)