Commission on Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder

Children and Youth Subcommittee

Outcomes Work Group 7/10/2024

Theresa T. Rulien, PhD, LMFT, LMHC President/CEO, Child Guidance Center

Leslie Lynch, MS, Chief Program Officer, Chrysalis Health

Lizette Tabares, LCSW, Senior Program Manager, Central Florida Behavioral Health Network

Alan Davidson, MA, LMHC, President & CEO Central Florida Behavioral Health Network

William Delaney, LCSW, Senior Vice President Strategic Relationships, Chrysalis Health













Outcomes Workgroup Goal:

Improved and evidencebased behavioral health outcome measures that accurately reflect the needs and progress of children and youth, leading to betterinformed policy and practice decisions.

Outcomes Workgroup Objective and Process

Objective:

Evaluate and enhance the effectiveness of behavioral health outcome measures for children and youth.

PROCESS:

- **1.** Review Existing Measures: Conduct a comprehensive review of currently utilized behavioral health outcome measures for children and youth.
- **2. Data Analysis:** Gather and analyze data on the effectiveness and applicability of these measures in diverse populations and settings.
- **3.** *Identify Gaps*: Identify any gaps or limitations in the current measures, including cultural relevance, age appropriateness, and the ability to capture long-term outcomes.
- 4. Recommendation Development: Based on the data and analysis, develop informed recommendations for the Subcommittee on the most effective measures to use, considering any necessary modifications or the adoption of new measures.
- **5. Report Findings:** Present the findings and recommendations to the Subcommittee, providing a detailed report that includes data, analysis, and suggested next steps for implementation.

Tools Evaluated:

- ASAM American Society of Addiction Medicine Level of Care Assessment
- CFARS Children's Functional Assessment Rating Scale
- CALOCUS Child and Adolescent Level of Care Utilization System
- NCFAS North Carolina Family Assessment Scale
- CGAS Children's Global Assessment Scale
- DLA-20 Daily Living Activities 20
- GAIN Global Appraisal of Individual Needs
- SAMHSA NOMS SA and MH Services Administration National Outcome Measures
- BH Works/BHS Behavioral Health Screen
- Wellness Assessment (Optum)
- CANS Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths
- CAFAS Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale

Evaluation Metrics

- Intended Use of the Tool
- Efficacy of the Tool
- Applicability Across Diverse Populations and Settings
- Total Cost
 - Cost for tool
 - Cost for Training/supervision
 - Cost for fidelity monitoring
- Time to Administer
- Inter-Rater Reliability
- Frequency Recommendation
- Limitations
- Any Data on State-Wide Roll Out
- Any Data on School District/DOE Roll Out



Completed Evaluation

		T	1		1	1	1	T		ANY DATA ON SCHOOL
									ANY DATA ON STATE-WIDE	DISTRICT/DOE ROLL
TOOL	TOOL INTENDED USE	EFFICACY OF THE TOOL	APPLICABILITY ACROSS DIVERSE POPULATIONS AND SETTINGS	COST	TIME TO ADMINISTER	INTER-RATER RELIABILITY	FREQUENCY RECOMMEDATION	LIMITATIONS	ROLL OUT	OUT
				L			ASAM should be administered			
				140 for book- From 1-50 users it is \$504 a year to 500+ users it is	s		regularly as the Individual			
			Individuals with addiction and co-occurring conditions- determining	\$403 a year to 500+ users it is	.		progresses through treatment to determine when they should	Only for SU, cost to use and E.H.R		
ASAM	Substance use eval of care.	Dependent on the clinician utilizing the tool	level of care and treatment planning	fee of \$180	15- 30 minutes	Differentiate between 1 level	transition to another LOC	capabilities, children above 11	No	No
AJAW	Substance use eval of care.	bependent on the chinelan damzing the tool	rever of care and treatment planning	166 01 \$100	15 50 minutes	Differentiate between 1 level	transition to another Eoc	capabilities, cilitaren above 11	Used in Florida, implemented	d d
	Developed in 1995 and updated								in Wyoming, New Mexico,	
	in 2005 to evaluate the						Completed with children over 5 at		Illinois and Malta but no	
	effectiveness of publically	Effectively detects treatment effects and program	Designed for Florida, 5 and older for program effectiveness and				intake, six month intervals and		evidence that it is still being	
CFARS/FARS	funded treatment services.	performance.	outcome measurement	Free 2 hour training.	15-20 minutes	Good inter-rater reliability	discharge.	None.	used in those locations.	None.
			To assess immediate service needs. Monitor the course of recovery							
			and service needs over time. Value driven guidance for medical							
			necessity determinations, treatment planning. Dimensions are risk of							
			harm, functional status, co-morbidity, recovery environment-							
			environmental stress, recovery environment-environmental support,							
LOCUS/CALOC			resiliency and treatment history, acceptance and engagement		Approximately 15	Dasad on subjective interpretation of	Admission, continued stay,			
LUCUS/CALUC	Assessment Tool, Outcome	Dependent on the clinician utilizing the tool	(child/adolescent) and acceptance and engagement (parent/primary caretaker)	Requires a license to use the tools		Based on subjective interpretation of the dimensions.	discharge	Requires a license to use the tools	No	No
03	Assessment 1001, Outcome	Dependent on the chinician dulizing the tool	Not intended to provide a single index of overall functioning; the rating		15-30 minutes,	Relies on Intra-rater reliability, rather	uischarge	Up to 18 years old, child welfare	NO	INO
	Developed to inform placement		should inform case decisions such as removal or closure for kids	·	technically requires 2	than inter-rater reliability, which	Intake, D/C and if needed if there is	specific, must have the family,	All Florida CAT Teams use	
	decesions for kids involved in		involved with child welfare as well as treatment planning. It's not reali	v3 hour training, workbook.	people to do the	necessitates the same provider	a caregiver change or placement	requires a tremendous amount of	this. Rolled out from NC	
NCFAS	child welfare.	Reliable in measuring the family's functioning.	an Outcome Measurement tool.	printed materials	assessment	completing the assessment.	change	historic information	statewide	None Found
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		There is no suggested training		, g				
				manual or any particular						
				education required. There is a 2-						
	Intended to rate the general		Provides a single global rating based on lowest level of functioning in	page description/instruction for			No frequency recommendations bu	t		
CGAS	functioning of children.	Effectively detects treatment outcomes	last 30 days. Appropriate for 4-16 years of age	raters	5 minutes	Good inter-rater reliability	looks back at 30 days	Only up to age 16, very subjective	No information found	No information found
				3.5 Hour training, tools available			Intake, every 90 days, discharge, or			
	Intended for outcomes	Reliable in measuring the daily living areas impacted by	Appropriate for individuals ages 6 and up, regardless of diagnosis,	on-line, in many EHR systems and			other frequency. It looks at last 30		Used statewide in 12 states.	
DLA-20	measurement and monitoring.	mental illness.	diasbility, or cultural background. Applicable across all settings.	can be built in other systems.	minutes	95	%days.	None.	Used nationally.	None Found
	Designed to support clinical									
	diagnosis, placement, treatmen	t	GAIN-I: Comprehensive Bio-psychosocial primarily for substance use	GAIN-1 and GAIN-Q3: 7 hour						
	planning, performance		treatment settings; GAIN-Q3: Brief Screener to identify a wide range of							
GAIN	monitoring, program planning and economic analysis	GAIN- Family of Assessments	problems; GANI-SS: Screener to identify/flag bh disorders. Can be adapted for specific cultures and subpopulations.	training. Cost not readily available.	GAIN-Q3: 15-45 minutes GAIN-SS: 5 minutes.	Reliability, validity, norms established	e-H	Appropriate for use with adolescents young adults and adults.	states/provinces/territories	Name Francis
GAIN	and economic analysis	GAIN- Family of Assessments	10 NOM domains intended to link to data records and to develop	available.	GAIN-55: 5 minutes.	Reliability , validity, norms established	. Follow-up versions available.	young adults and adults.	states/provinces/territories	None Found
			strategies. Includes Abstinence, Employment/Education, Crime and							
			Criminal Justice, Stability in housing, Access/Capacity, Retention in							
			treatment/readmission rate to hospitals, Social connectedness,							
			Perception of care, Cost-effectiveness, Use of evidenced based	Appears to be provided by				Reporting for federal SU grants-		
SAMHSA NOM:	SU- grant focused	Self reported measure	practices	SAMHSA	Unknown	Self reported measures	Baseline, Reassessment, discharge		No	No
BH Works-								, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
screening tool										
BHS										
(Behavioral										
Health Screen)	;		Intended for use in medical, school, and mental health settings. Web							
offers validated	i		based screen identifies a number of behavioral health problem areas,			L		l	Yes- Maryland, Pennsyslvania	ž,
assesments		L	including depression, anxiety, substance use, trauma.			Consists of psychiatric symptom scales	·	validated for only ages 12 and above		
across 16	Web-based system for	Self reported measure- 13 major domains in behavioral and	d .			and risk behaviors- gives a risk score.		Instrument available to use with paid		
mental health psychosocial	integrating behavioral health screening, triage, and	mental health issues; Access to multiple assessments; Completed on a computer or mobile device; scored				Self-report (Individual/Patient/Client), Direct Provider (working directly with		licensing of the BH-Works platform. The instrument requires a licensing	Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida and	
domains	prevention services	immediately		Unclear	7-12 minutes	individual)	Up to the Organization	fee per organization.	California	Vec
uomama	prevention services	,	One-page Wellness Assessment (WA) which contains items that	oncicut	, az minutes	, and the sail	op to the Organization	ree per organization.	Como Illa	+
			measure behavioral health symptom severity, functional impairment,							
Wellness	[and self-efficacy. Items are included to screen for substance abuse risk	:1						
Assessment	Individual level self		and medical co-morbidity. Youth and Adult tool to track changes in		10 Minutes, Self-					
(Optum)	assessment/Medicaid	Self reported	health status and functioning. Covers 14 domains	Unclear	assessment	Self reported measures	Up to the Organization	Self Assessment	None Found	None Found
	Multi-purpose tool developed									
	for children's services to									
	support decision making,								Versions of the CANS are	
	including level of care and								currently used worldwide in	
	service planning, to facilitate			L		1	I		child welfare, mental health,	
		Needs and strengths assessment determined to be	Ages 6-20, used in urban and rural settings, numerous jurisdictions,	Free to use. Training and annual		Has demonstrated reliability and	At admission and at least every 180		juvenile justice, and early	L.
CANS	and to monitor outcomes.	accurate.	committed to creating a diverse and inclusive environment.	certification is expected.	20-30 Minutes	validity.	days.	1	intervention applications.	None
	lised to assess a validate desire	Backed by 20 years of research supporting its and discount		1		Developed in 1989, supported by over				
		Backed by 20 years of research supporting its validity and		1		20 years of research and 80 published articles, has demonstrated satisfactors				
		sensitivity to detecting change in behaviors. Items are behaviorally descriptive and anchored, resulting in high				internal consistency and interrater				
CAFAS	functioning improves over time.		Ages 5-19, translated into French, Spanis and Dutch.	1	10 minutes	reliability.	Completed quarterly		None Found	None Found
	r	ii-	r-gara and account of the control of the patient	-		r	in the second day serily	-	r	

Children's Functional Assessment Rating Scale (CFARS) Deep Dive

In 1993 DCF District 7 and University of South Florida's Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute collaborated to develop procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of publicly funded behavioral health services. They evaluated a number functioning scales and ended up selecting and modifying the CCAR (Colorado Client Assessment Record) that had been in use in Colorado for over 15 years.

In Fiscal Year 1995-1996, Florida's Department of Children and Families' (then called Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services) in District 7 (four counties around Orlando), with assistance from FMHI, implemented the Functional Assessment Rating Scale (FARS) to evaluate effectiveness of state contracted mental health and substance abuse services for adults in that area. As part of the pilot, FMHI also conducted a survey of clinicians completing the FARS for children in that area.

The results of that survey of use of FARS for evaluating children indicated that some changes were needed to ensure an accurate reflection of the specific children's issues believed to be important to children's specialists employed in the public behavioral health system. Feedback from the clinician survey, along with input from a consultant child psychologist and several other licensed mental health professionals (including the first and second authors of the Scale), were utilized to develop the 17 domains that were included in the first version of the Children's Functional Assessment Rating Scale (CFARS).

The goal of the CFARS was to have a single instrument that could: 1) gather Functional Assessment information for domains relevant for evaluating children, 2). gather Florida's societal outcome data elements that were needed to meet Performance Based Planning and Budgeting initiatives required by the legislature, 3) provide information helpful to clinicians and agencies delivering services (to assist in treatment planning and quality improvement monitoring), and 4) be flexible to describe changing status in aggregate reports of Florida's children in care that would reliably inform DCF's mandated reports to the legislature.

In December 1996, the CFARS was implemented in a four-county pilot area (DCF. District 7) and implemented statewide by June of 1997 as part of Florida's Performance Based Planning and Budgeting initiative.

Daily Activities of Living – 20 (DLA-20) Deep Dive

 The DLA-20 (Daily Living Activities - 20) is a functional assessment tool used to measure the impact of mental illness and disability on daily living activities. It was developed by the National Council for Behavioral Health and MTM Services. The tool includes 20 activities covering areas such as grooming, health practices, housing stability, money management, and social networks. It aims to provide a standardized method for clinicians to assess and track the functional status and outcomes of their patients.

History and Development

The DLA-20 was created to address the need for a reliable and valid functional
assessment tool in behavioral health settings. Initially designed to capture a 30-day
snapshot of a patient's daily living activities, the tool helps clinicians identify areas
of need and measure treatment progress over time. Its development was driven by
the growing demand for outcome-based care, especially following the
implementation of the Affordable Care Act, which emphasizes value over volume in
healthcare services.

Usage and Implementation

 The DLA-20 is used by over 500 provider organizations across 43 states, with more than 35,000 trained clinicians who have served over a million consumers. Implemented state-wide in 14 states and fully integrated into 37 electronic health records. Training for clinicians is essential to ensure proper use and scoring of the tool. The training covers how to ask questions, handle misconceptions, and develop quantifiable patient goals. It also emphasizes the creation of individualized treatment plans and the measurement of modified Global Assessment of Functioning (mGAF) scores.

Children's Functional Assessment Rating Scale (CFARS) Pros/Cons

Pros:

Comprehensive Assessment of functional impairment in children and adolescents, covering domains such as self-care, school/work functioning, family relationships, and community involvement.

The severity rating scale is 1-9 which provides for more detail.

Standardized Measure with established reliability and validity, ensuring consistency in assessing functional impairment across different individuals and settings.

Objective Measurement of functional impairment, facilitating comparison over time and between different clients or cases.

Individualized Treatment Planning by identifying specific areas of functional impairment that require intervention or support.

Supports Evidence-Based Practice in child and adolescent mental health, guiding clinicians in identifying areas of strength and areas needing intervention.

Outcome Monitoring of treatment progress and outcomes by providing baseline and subsequent scores to track changes in functional impairment over time.

There is a free Internet web site where form downloads, and on-line training and certification is available for using the CFARS.

Able to be administered in 8-15 minutes.

Funded by Medicaid 3 times per fiscal year.



Cons:

Time-Intensive Administration: Administering the CFARS and scoring it can be time-consuming, especially in clinical settings where time for detailed assessments may be limited.

Dependence on Rater Judgment: Interpretation of responses and scoring in the CFARS relies on clinician judgment, which can introduce variability in results.

Limited Scope: While comprehensive for functional impairment, the CFARS may not capture other important aspects of a child or adolescent's well-being, such as emotional functioning, coping skills, or social interactions.

Cultural and Linguistic Considerations: The CFARS may not be culturally sensitive or may require adaptation for use with children and adolescents from diverse cultural backgrounds, potentially affecting its validity and reliability.

Skill and Training Requirements: Proper administration and scoring of the CFARS require training and expertise, which may vary among clinicians and affect the consistency of results.

Stigmatization Concerns: Focusing on functional impairment through tools like the CFARS may inadvertently reinforce labels or stigmas associated with mental health issues in children and adolescents.

Daily Living Assessment (DLA)-20 Pros/Cons

Pros

Comprehensive Assessment covering a wide range of daily living activities, including personal care, household tasks, and mobility, providing a comprehensive view of an individual's functional abilities.

Objective Measurement offering a structured and standardized method for assessing functioning, which enhances objectivity in evaluating clients' functional status over time or across different settings.

Scalability across various populations and settings, making it adaptable for use in different clinical or community-based environments.

Level of Care and Treatment Planning: Results can inform level of care, and treatment planning by identifying specific areas of difficulty in daily functioning that require intervention or support.

Care Management: Results assists in care management by identifying the level of assistance or support individuals may need to maintain or improve their functioning.

Outcome Monitoring for systematic monitoring of clients' progress in functional abilities, helping to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions or therapies aimed at improving functioning. Facilitates the measurement of treatment outcomes, helping clinicians evaluate the effectiveness of interventions and make data-driven decisions about care.

High Levels of interrater reliability.

Able to be administered in 8-15 minutes.

Funded by Medicaid 3 times per fiscal year.



Cons

Subjectivity in Reporting: Similar to other assessment tools, the accuracy of DLA-20 results may be influenced by clinician's perception, mood, or cognitive abilities, potentially affecting the reliability of the assessment.

Cultural and Linguistic Considerations: The DLA-20 may not be culturally sensitive or may require adaptation for use with clients from diverse linguistic or cultural backgrounds, impacting its validity and reliability.

Training Requirements: Proper administration and interpretation of the DLA-20 require training and expertise, which may vary among staff members and affect the consistency of results across different assessors. Proper training requires time and financial resources which may not be feasible for all organizations.

Privacy Concerns: The detailed nature of questions in the DLA-20 about personal care and daily activities may raise privacy concerns for some clients, potentially impacting their willingness to provide accurate information.

Recommendations

- Improved and evidence-based behavioral health outcome measures that accurately reflect the needs and progress of children and youth, leading to better-informed policy and practice decisions.
- The Workgroup recommends the statewide implementation of the DLA-20
 - Reliable, improved evidence-based functional assessment tool designed to identify areas of need and measure progress over the course of care.
 - Utilized nationally and rolled out statewide in 14 states.
 - Fully integrated in 37 electronic health records and able to be integrated in any other for free
 - Relatively inexpensive (no cost for the tool, EHR integration)
- State Sponsored Training Program (perhaps the MMA plans), leading to a sustainable Train the Trainer model
- Increased Florida Medicaid fiscal year limit for Limited Functional Assessment to cover the cost of administration
- Include the DLA-20 in all new state contracts (DCF, DJJ, DOE)

Partners to Mobilize Recommendations

The Agency for Health Care Administration

Medicaid Managed Care Plans

The Department of Education

The Department of Children and Families

Managing Entities

Community Based Care Entities

The Department of Juvenile Justice

The Florida Behavioral Health Association

MTM Consulting

Impact of Recommendations

Move towards value-based care with measurable outcomes driving policy and funding decisions

Improved outcome measurement for clinicians, guiding effective treatment interventions

Change management in terms of statewide roll out of the tool

Training requirement ensuring accurate use of the tool

Funding to cover initial training for roll out

Increased Medicaid limit for administration as needed