


2017 Supervisor Report (Safety Unable to be Determined by Reviewer)

OCW June 2017 Fidelity Review


June 28th 2017, 8:17 am MDT

Region - Region




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Central Region	19.23%	10
2	Northwest Region	13.46%	7
3	Northeast Region	25.00%	13
4	Southern Region	3.85%	2
5	Southeast Region	17.31%	9
6	Suncoast Region	21.15%	11
	Total	100%	52

QID136 - 1. Present Danger Assessment




#	Question	Yes		No		Cannot Determine	
1	a.) Did the worker identify present danger at any point in the investigation process?	55.56%	10	60.87%	42	0.00%	0
2	b.) Reviewer judgment: Was there information to indicate present danger in this case?	44.44%	8	39.13%	27	100.00%	17
	Total	Total	18	Total	69	Total	17

QID151 - Impending Danger




#	Question	Yes		No		Cannot Determine- Lack of Information	
1	a.) Did the worker identify impending danger at the conclusion of the Family Functioning Assessment?	64.29%	9	95.45%	42	2.17%	1
2	b.) Reviewer Judgment: Does the information collected indicate impending danger in this case?	35.71%	5	4.55%	2	97.83%	45
	Total	Total	14	Total	44	Total	46

QID175 - Safety Decision




#	Question	Safe		Safe: Impending Danger Being Managed by Protective Parent/Legal Guardian		Unsafe		Cannot determine	
1	a.) What was the worker's safety decision?	100.00%	43	0.00%	0	100.00%	9	0.00%	0
2	b.) Reviewer judgment	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	52
	Total	Total	43	Total	0	Total	9	Total	52

Q279 - Did the CPIS conduct a pre-commencement consultation with the CPI as needed based upon CFOP if applicable?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	11.54%	6
2	No	42.31%	22
3	NA-Precommencement not required per CFOP.	46.15%	24
	Total	100%	52

Q292 - Did the CPIS conduct an initial case consultation, as required by CFOP?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	96.15%	50
2	No	3.85%	2
	Total	100%	52

Q293 - Is there evidence the CPI Supervisor was regularly consulting with the CPI, recommending actions when concerns are identified, and ensuring recommended actions followed up on urgently when indicated by the case dynamics. This would include the supervisor requesting and conducting a second tier consultation if needed and completing follow-up consultations as indicated.



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	40.38%	21
2	No	59.62%	31
	Total	100%	52

Q294 - Supervisor case consultation notes indicate that the supervisor was providing coaching and mentoring to the CPI to ensure accurate and timely safety decisions are achieved.



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	30.77%	16
2	No	69.23%	36
	Total	100%	52