


# Fall 2017 Supervisor Report (Safety Unable to be Determined by Reviewer)


OCW Fall 2017 Fidelity Review -  
January 25th 2018, 11:37 am MST

## Region - Region



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Central Region	16.67%	4
2	Northwest Region	0.00%	0
3	Northeast Region	20.83%	5
4	Southern Region	12.50%	3
5	Southeast Region	16.67%	4
6	Suncoast Region	33.33%	8
	Total	100%	24


# QID136 - 1. Present Danger Assessment



#	Question	Yes	No	Cannot Determine	Total
1	a.) Did the worker identify present danger at any point in the investigation process?	37.50% 9	62.50% 15	0.00% 0	24
2	b.) Reviewer judgment: Was there information to indicate present	33.33% 8	37.50% 9	29.17% 7	24

danger in this case?							
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

# QID151 - Impending Danger




#	Question	Yes	No	Cannot Determine- Lack of Information	Total
1	a.) Did the worker identify impending danger at the conclusion of the Family Functioning Assessment?	41.67%	58.33%	0.00%	24
2	b.) Reviewer Judgment: Does the information collected indicate impending danger?	0.00%	4.17%	95.83%	24

Does the information collected indicate impending danger in this case?

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--


# QID175 - Safety Decision



#	Question	Safe	Safe: Impending Danger Being Managed by Protective Parent/Legal Guardian	Unsafe	Cannot determine	Total				
1	a.) What was the worker's safety decision?	58.33%	14	0.00%	0	41.67%	10	0.00%	0	24

2	b.) Review er judgme nt	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00 %	24	24
---	-------------------------------------	-------	---	-------	---	-------	---	-------------	----	----


**Q279 - Did the CPIS conduct a pre-commencement consultation with the CPI as needed based upon CFOP if applicable?**



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	20.83%	5
2	No	45.83%	11
3	NA-Precommencement not required per CFOP.	33.33%	8
	Total	100%	24




**Q292 - Did the CPIS conduct an initial case consultation, as required by CFOP?**




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	100.00%	24
2	No	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	24

**Q293 - Is there evidence the CPI Supervisor was regularly consulting with the CPI, recommending actions when concerns are identified, and ensuring recommended actions followed up on urgently when indicated by the case dynamics. This would include the supervisor requesting and conducting a second tier consultation if needed and completing follow-up consultations as indicated.**



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	41.67%	10
2	No	58.33%	14
	Total	100%	24

Q294 - Supervisor case consultation notes indicate that the supervisor was providing coaching and mentoring to the CPI to ensure accurate and timely safety decisions are achieved.



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	37.50%	9
2	No	62.50%	15
	Total	100%	24