



A Service of the
Children's Bureau

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF



Meeting the Needs of Families Affected by Co-occurring Substance Use and Intimate Partner Violence

Jenna, 5, and her brother, Alex, 8, were referred to Child Protective Services. A case worker at their mother's residential drug treatment program reported that their father, who had primary custody of the children while their mother was detoxing from alcohol and opiates, was consistently late to scheduled visits. The children often showed up to the facility quiet and withdrawn. They both appeared to startle easily. At the most recent visit, the case worker overheard the father tell the children's mother that if she didn't leave the program immediately, he would take the kids and run. Focusing solely on the mother's substance use, the case worker had not identified the ongoing intimate partner violence that had been taking place in the home, nor had she identified a safety plan for the children or the mother when the mother entered the treatment program.

Families affected by co-occurring intimate partner violence (IPV) and substance use face unique and interconnected needs. Providing services requires consideration of the needs of the adult who is experiencing IPV, the child(ren) exposed to it, and the family unit as a whole, with a primary emphasis on ensuring the safety of all family members. This brief focuses on mothers who are experiencing IPV and who use substances, and it identifies promising practices and programs to meet the needs of these women and their children.

In this brief, we use the term *intimate partner violence* to refer to violence committed against a partner as part of a pattern of behavior intended to exert power and control over the partner.³ In addition to physical or sexual violence, IPV may also involve verbal and psychological abuse as part of an effort to exert

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

control.^{2,25} In heterosexual relationships, this type of violence is primarily committed by men against their female partners.³ Therefore, in this brief, we focus on women who are abused by men. Although we acknowledge that IPV does occur in the reverse direction, as well as in same-sex couples, these issues are beyond the scope of this brief.

Prevalence of IPV and Substance Use

In the United States, over one-third of women have experienced physical violence, rape, and/or stalking by an intimate partner.⁴ Rates of IPV are even higher among African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, and multiracial women.^{4,9,20} While women of all ages, income levels, and geographic locations experience IPV, prevalence is greater among low-income women, young women, and women residing in urban areas.^{5,9,10,20}

Children are often indirect victims of IPV, as they are frequently present in the home when IPV occurs.⁷ An estimated 15.5 million children in the U.S. live in families in which IPV has occurred in the past year.⁶ Substance use—by one or both partners—often co-occurs with IPV.^{2,9,11,14} Research has consistently found higher rates of drug and alcohol use among women who

experience IPV than among those who do not.^{9,15,16,20} A review of the research found that, on average, an estimated 19% of women experiencing IPV abuse alcohol, while 9% report substance use.¹⁵ Studies of women receiving services for their IPV-related needs show even higher rates of substance use, ranging from one-quarter to one-half.¹

In addition, approximately one-quarter to one-half of men who commit IPV have histories of past or current substance use.² Although it is important to note that substance use does not necessarily cause men to be violent, intoxication may play a role in facilitating violence.^{2,17}

Consequences of IPV

Among women who have experienced IPV, the proportion who consider their physical or mental health to be poor is nearly three times higher than among women with no IPV history.⁴ Research suggests that these effects increase as the violence becomes more severe, and effects may persist even after the IPV has ended.^{4,14} Adverse physical and mental health outcomes are associated not only with physical IPV, but also with psychological abuse.⁴⁰

- *Health Concerns*

Studies consistently show that IPV is associated with significant long-term and short-term physical health problems.¹⁴ These may include:

- injuries resulting directly from the violence;
- disabilities and chronic pain resulting from these injuries;
- difficulty sleeping;
- gastrointestinal issues;
- cardiac symptoms (such as hypertension and heart disease);
- stroke;
- gynecological problems (including STIs); and
- asthma.^{4,9,11,14,16}



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

- *Mental Health Concerns*

Women experiencing IPV are also at increased risk of a variety of mental health concerns, including:

- Depression;
- Posttraumatic Stress Disorder;
- and suicidal ideation.^{11,14,15,21,40}

Depression and PTSD, which frequently co-occur, are the most common mental health diagnoses among women who experience IPV.¹⁴ Nearly half of women experiencing IPV have depression, nearly two-thirds have PTSD, and almost one-fifth experience suicidal ideation.¹⁵

IPV, Substance Use, and Health

Research has found that the vast majority of women who use substances have experienced trauma in their lives, including IPV.¹ Women may initiate or intensify their use of substances as a method of coping with the trauma or stress of IPV.^{1,14,37} The stress of experiencing IPV may also lead women to develop mental health disorders, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) or depression, which may subsequently lead to substance use to reduce the symptoms of these disorders.^{14,15,22,23,40}

Reciprocally, substance use, mental health, and physical health problems may lead to an increased risk of IPV.^{9,11,23,25,26} For example, women who use substances may be more likely to enter into relationships with violent men who also use substances.¹ Substance use may also retain women in abusive relationships by hindering their ability to protect themselves, impairing their judgment, or increasing their financial dependency on their partner.^{1,26}



IPV and Substance Use During Pregnancy

Studies have found that between 3 and 11 percent of pregnant women experience IPV.^{12,13} IPV during pregnancy presents risks to the health of both the mother and the fetus from trauma^{14,29} and is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm delivery, low birthweight, and miscarriage.^{14,16,27,28,29} Women who experience violence are more likely to use drugs and alcohol both before and during pregnancy.^{16,18,21}

That being said, pregnancy and the post-partum period commonly provide strong intrinsic motivation for mothers who have struggled with sobriety in the past making this an opportune time for interventions.

Impacts of IPV and Parental Substance Use on Children

Exposure to IPV and parental substance use can have far-reaching effects on children's development. Many of the risks associated with exposure to IPV are similar to those associated with exposure to parental substance use, and both increase children's risk for developing social, emotional, behavioral, and cognitive disorders.^{10,33,34,37}

Despite these similarities, the problems of parental substance use and IPV also create unique challenges for children, which manifest in different ways. The table below indicates common problems associated both with IPV exposure and with parental substance use, as well as symptoms that are more strongly associated with one issue or the other.

Childhood Symptomatology Associated with IPV and Substance Use

IPV and Substance Use

Depression
Anxiety
Aggression
Loneliness
Confusion
Difficulties at school

IPV

PTSD
Distress/irritability (infants)
Developmental delays
Sleep problems
Low self-esteem
Difficulties with peer relationships

Substance Use

“Parentification”
Hyperactivity
Impulsivity
Guilt, shame
Chronic stress due to
chaotic home environment

Sources: 7, 10, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37, 41

In addition, both children exposed to IPV and those whose parents use substances are at a greater risk of being abused and neglected.^{10,31,32,33} Rates of physical child abuse are at least twice as high in families with IPV than in families with no IPV.³² For families in which parents have substance use disorders, even though parents may not be physically abusing their children, they may be less able to meet the needs of the children, potentially resulting in child neglect.^{33,35,37} A common issue in these families is “parentification,” in which the child takes on adult roles and responsibilities in order to compensate for the substance-using parent’s impaired ability to function.^{33,35,36}

It is important to note that not all children exposed to IPV or parental substance use experience these detrimental effects. In the case of IPV exposure and parental substance use, each child’s unique characteristics and

personal resiliency—as well as factors such as age, socioeconomic status, length and severity of the IPV, and the quality of parent-child relationships—play a role in determining what challenges, if any, the child will develop.^{10,37,41}

Interventions

To meet the needs of families experiencing co-occurring IPV and substance use, it is crucial that service providers address both issues, since either problem can compound the other.^{25,37} For example, IPV can hinder women’s efforts to recover from addiction, while substance use can make women more vulnerable to IPV and impair their capacity to protect themselves and their children from abuse.^{14,25,44} Both issues should therefore be addressed concurrently and in a coordinated manner.^{1,2,25,37,46}

The multiple and interconnected needs of families experiencing co-occurring IPV and substance use will likely require the involvement of more than one social service agency.^{1,49} It is important that service providers collaborate, share information, and integrate their services to the greatest extent possible, all while approaching the families with a truly trauma-informed practice.^{1,2,45,46}



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Assessments

The most important priority to address when working with families affected by co-occurring IPV and substance use is to ensure the safety of all family members.^{48,71} Clinicians should first consider the immediate safety needs of women and their children, including the possible need for shelter, and should be aware of local resources that are available to meet these safety needs.⁴⁸ In order to help women and their children access these resources, it is key that providers be able to identify when a family is experiencing co-occurring IPV and substance use.

Identifying IPV

A number of signs can alert practitioners to the possibility that IPV is occurring in the home. If a clinician has access to the client's medical records, emergency room and doctor's visits notes may provide more detailed information. Women who are experiencing IPV may exhibit:

- Bruises or other untreated injuries, particularly to the face and neck;
- Stress-related health problems, including headaches, chronic pain, stomach complaints, fatigue, sleep disorders, and pregnancy complications;
- Psychological signs of abuse, such as shame, guilt, low self-esteem, traumatization, anxiety, panic attacks, and depression; or
- Social isolation and loss of social support systems.^{2,37}

Further, be alert to reports of behaviors that may indicate that a man is abusing his partner, including:

- Threatening to harm his partner or others;
- Threatening to leave his partner or take her children away;
- Exhibiting jealousy or controlling behavior;
- Prohibiting family from spending time with friends or extended family;
- Damaging property; or
- Preventing his partner from attending counseling or substance use treatment groups.



Screening for IPV can help clinicians identify whether IPV is occurring and, if so, the nature of the violence and the extent of danger it poses.^{2,52} After establishing that IPV is taking place in the home, the woman should be referred to an IPV service provider for an in-depth IPV assessment.²

Making meaningful connections with women with trauma histories must be the primary goal for clinicians. The focus cannot solely be on completing assessments and obtaining information. A real effort needs to be made to create rapport and a safe space before expecting the woman to open up about highly sensitive issues. Once this dynamic has unfolded, the following tips should be kept in mind when conducting interviews to screen for IPV :

- **Interview the client alone.** This will make it easier and safer for her to disclose the abuse and will help to build trust.^{2,44} Friends and family members should not be present during the interview, since abusers may obtain information from them about what was said, potentially placing the woman at risk.²
- **Continue to build trust.** Since women may be reluctant to discuss their experiences with IPV and may feel a great deal of shame about this topic, it is important to develop an environment of trust and safety.³⁷

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

- **Maintain confidentiality.** Assure the woman that nothing she says will be disclosed to her partner.⁴⁴ Avoid leaving information about IPV resources or voicemail messages referencing the IPV.⁴⁴
- **Be cautious, respectful, and sensitive.** Acknowledge that this is a sensitive topic, and begin with the least sensitive questions in order to put the woman at ease.^{37,44} Avoid using terms like “domestic violence,” at least at first; instead, use terms such as “inappropriate behavior” or “behavior that is unsafe.”³⁷ Recognize that discussing the abuse may be traumatic, and avoid pressing for unnecessary details.⁴⁴
- **Avoid criticizing the abusive partner.** Since the woman often cares about her partner, attacking or criticizing him may lead her to defend him or to shut down the conversation.^{2,37}
- **Emphasize that the abuse is not her fault.** Questions should be framed in such a way as to place the blame on the abuser, not on the woman experiencing IPV. Avoid questions such as, “What did you do to make your partner angry?” and “Why do you stay with him?”⁴⁴ Instead, emphasize that there is no excuse for her partner’s behavior.²

Examples of the types of questions to ask during the screening interview include:

- “Could you tell me about your relationship with your partner?”⁴⁴



- “All couples argue. How do you and your partner argue?”⁴⁴
- “How safe do you feel with your partner?”³⁷
- “Has there been a time when you felt afraid of your partner? If so, can you tell me what happened?”⁴⁴
- “Does your partner ever act jealous or possessive of you? Can you tell me more about that?”⁴⁴
- “Can you tell me about a situation when your partner pushed, slapped, or hit you?”³⁷
- “How does your partner attempt to control your alcohol or other drug use?”³⁷
- “How is discipline administered in your home?”

For a number of reasons, women may be unwilling to disclose that they are being abused. For example, they may fear that they will be stigmatized, that their partners will retaliate against them, or that their children will be taken away.^{37, 40} They may also see the abuse as a private family matter, or they may blame themselves for their partner’s behavior.^{2, 40}

IPV and Cultural Considerations

Clinicians must have an awareness of cultural context in order to address IPV across different populations and communities. Numerous factors can influence the reporting or underreporting of IPV by women of different cultural or ethnic backgrounds including:

- Fear of isolation and alienation;
- A strong loyalty to immediate and extended family;
- Cultural norms that emphasize privacy and not trusting outsiders;
- Fear of rejection from family, friends, the church, and community;
- Distrust of law enforcement and the unwillingness to subject loved ones to a criminal and civil justice system they may feel are racially and/or culturally biased;
- And misgivings about the cultural or linguistic competency of shelter and intervention services.^{75,77}

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Women from immigrant communities may hesitate to leave abusive partners based on a variety of factors including:

- A lack of awareness of their legal rights;
- Fear of deportation of themselves, their partners and/or their children;
- Succumbing to pressure by extended family members to keep the family unit together;
- A fear of dishonoring their family or being disowned as a result of the social stigma that their community places on a woman who divorces or abandons her partner;
- Strict adherence to religious rules around marriage;
- And fear of social isolation that may be exacerbated due to a lack of a social network, language barriers, lack of access to transportation or ESL classes.^{75,76}

In light of the impact of IPV amongst various cultural and ethnic groups, it is important for programs to offer services that are culturally sensitive to the diverse needs of these communities. Some basic recommendations include providing *broad skills development training*.⁷⁶ Such training could include offering or collaborating with organizations that offer: English language instruction, training on using public transportation and map reading, computer classes, personal finance management, driving classes, or job search strategies.⁷⁶

It is also vital to *provide assistance in accessing services*.⁷⁶ Such assistance could be in the form of helping survivors connect to services that are provided in their native language, referring women to groups that educate clients on abuse and trauma, putting them in touch with skilled interpreters, helping them access shelters that can support their particular cultural needs, and connecting them to agencies that offer legal aid with child custody issues, immigration status or other matters relevant to their case.^{76,77} Offering survivors access to support groups with participants of similar cultural backgrounds, where they can discuss their experiences and ways to improve their lives, is another means toward enhancing culturally competent services.⁷⁶



Thus, even if a woman is being abused, the initial screening may not reveal that IPV is taking place. It is therefore important to continue to be alert to the signs of IPV and to conduct additional screenings over time.^{2,37}

Identifying Substance Use

As with IPV, be aware of signs that may indicate substance use, including:

- Physical signs, such as needle marks or drug paraphernalia in the home;³³
- Psychological signs, such as shame, guilt, denial, or low self-esteem;³⁷
- Impaired ability to make rational decisions; or³⁷
- Odd behavior that may indicate that the client is under the influence of alcohol or drugs.³³

Although it is important to be alert to these possible red flags, substance use or addiction may be present even without any visible signs.³³ Substance use screening can therefore be useful in determining whether substance use is occurring. Home visitors may also find it helpful to use a brief screening tool, such as the **CAGE** or **UNCOPE** questionnaires.³³ If the screening indicates that the client may have a substance use disorder, the client should be referred to a substance use treatment provider for a thorough assessment to determine whether, and what type of, treatment is needed.^{33,35,37}

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Understandably, clients may be reluctant to discuss their substance use. It is important to remember that this reluctance may be due to traumatic brain impairment resulting from the abuse, not denial of the situation. As with screening for IPV, substance use screening must be an ongoing process that involves building trust and rapport with the client.³⁷

Safety Planning

Information obtained from IPV and substance use screenings will help to inform safety planning, which involves working with the client to identify options that may help to increase her safety and the safety of her children.⁴⁴ Safety planning is an ongoing process that involves discussions of the benefits and drawbacks of various options and addresses both short-term and long-term risks to safety.^{2,44}

Safety plans should include:

- Strategies to decrease the likelihood of physical violence by the abusive partner and promote the safety of the woman and her children, such as:
 - Determining what to do and where to go when the abusive partner becomes violent or threatens violence;
 - Gathering important documents and personal items that will be needed should the woman and her children need to leave suddenly;
- Adding or changing door or window locks or installing a security system; and/or
- Informing individuals such as friends, neighbors, coworkers, and school officials about the abusive situation and any restraining orders that are in place.⁴⁴
- Developing a transport plan for situations when the client does not have access to a car.
- Strategies for addressing barriers to safety, such as:
 - Income (as the abuser may be the family's main source of financial support);
 - Housing/shelter;
 - Health care (including resources for mental wellness, if needed); and
 - Child care (including where the children will stay if their mother enters a long-term residential program).^{44,45}
- Contact information for neighbors, friends, and family members that can provide safety and support if needed.⁴⁴
- A list of local IPV resources such as shelters, family services agencies, and law enforcement agencies and information on how to access these resources.^{44,48}

Safety plans should also take into account the client's substance use, as well as any mental health issues, and how these issues may affect her safety.⁴⁵ In particular, when women experiencing IPV seek treatment for substance use or try to stop using substances, their risk of being harmed by their partner may increase.⁴⁵ An abuser may feel that his partner's sobriety threatens his control over her, and he may react with threats or violence.^{45,2} Safety plans should include strategies for reducing substance use or maintaining sobriety and should consider ways that abusive partners may try to undermine these efforts.^{37,45}



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Depending on the age of the child and the family's circumstances, it may also be beneficial to develop a child safety plan that details what to do during a violent situation.⁴⁴ This may help children feel safer and give them a sense of control.^{44,45} Such a safety plan should include specific steps to take when violence is occurring, such as:

- Calling a safe adult, such as a friend or family member, and asking for help;
- Finding a safe location in the house to avoid the violent interaction;
- Escaping from the house in an emergency and finding a safe place to go; and
- Calling the police.⁴⁴

Case Management

Clinicians can act as case managers and advocates by helping address barriers that families face in accessing services, making appropriate referrals, and coordinating among service providers to ensure that families' multiple needs are met. Providing advocacy to help women access services and identify legal options has also been cited as a promising strategy for preventing further IPV victimization.⁵⁵ In their role as case managers and advocates, clinicians can:

- **Provide information about available services.** Being knowledgeable about the resources available in their communities is critical.^{2,44,55}
- **Develop relationships with service providers.** Reach out to IPV organizations and substance use treatment programs for assistance in the case management process.⁴⁴
- **Address logistical barriers to accessing treatment.** Strategize with women about ways to address barriers to treatment, such as the need for child care, transportation, housing, or economic support.^{37,44} Many women also struggle with a real fear of losing custody of their children if they reach out for help.



- **Address motivational barriers to accessing treatment, if necessary.** Not all women will feel motivated or ready to seek treatment. In general, service providers should adopt a woman-centered approach that involves finding out what changes the client would like to make and providing information about treatment options if she is interested.⁴⁵
- **Help women develop social support networks.** The encouragement and support of family and friends is important for recovery from substance use disorders and can also prevent or reduce mental health issues.^{33, 40} This social support is particularly important for women experiencing IPV.⁴⁰ Clinicians can help women strengthen their social support networks and identify potential sources of support, including family members, friends, therapists, and support groups.^{40,51}
- **Explore options for leaving the relationship.** If the client is interested in leaving the abusive relationship, the clinician can discuss with her various options, including where to stay and, if she is financially dependent on her partner, any employment or education resources that may help her achieve economic self-sufficiency.^{2,37}

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Making Referrals

Women and families experiencing co-occurring IPV and substance use may benefit from referrals to a wide variety of programs and services. Women should be referred to programs and services that offer both physical and psychological safety and that understand and support clients' need to feel safe.^{2, 37} In addition, if possible, women should be referred to a single location where they can access several different types of services in order to reduce the burden of traveling to multiple locations.^{37,45,49} When referring women to residential programs, clinicians should ensure that these programs provide accommodations for children, if possible.^{33,45}

Although each woman's needs are different, referrals to the following types of services may be needed:

- **Temporary housing.** Women who choose to leave an abusive home situation can be referred to IPV shelters or to transitional housing programs, depending on their needs. When making referrals, it is important to ensure that the programs do not have restrictions that exclude women who are actively using substances.⁴⁵ Although some IPV shelters require residents to abstain from drug use, this may not be an immediate possibility for some women, and discontinuing substance use may exacerbate trauma or mental health symptoms.^{2,33,45,46}
- **Other IPV services.** Whether or not they are considering leaving their partner, women may benefit from other services offered by many IPV organizations, including counseling, support groups, case management, and legal advocacy.^{44,49,55} Support groups can be beneficial in facilitating connections between women who have experienced IPV, allowing them to build on each other's strengths.⁴⁵
- **Legal Advocacy Services.** Legal advocacy can help women navigate the legal system and make decisions about what legal actions to take, if any, against their abuser.⁵⁵
- **Substance use and/or mental health treatment.** Regardless of the format or setting, treatment for women experiencing co-occurring IPV and substance use should be gender-specific, trauma-informed, and culturally appropriate and should: incorporate harm reduction strategies; use empowerment, rather than confrontational approaches; promote self-esteem and self-efficacy; emphasize that the IPV is not the woman's fault; and address possible childhood trauma.^{1,2,37,44,45,51}
- **Parenting support services.** Both women and their children may benefit from programs that offer parenting skills training and resources, particularly since the stress of experiencing IPV may negatively affect women's parenting.^{2,10, 33,65} A number of programs offer parenting training and support for women combined with trauma treatment for their children.
- **Basic needs services.** Women and children, especially those fleeing abusive home situations, may need help accessing basic resources, such as food, clothing, financial support, transportation, child care, and medical care.^{33,44}
- **Employment and education services.** Women may benefit from education, job training, or other employment services that can help them achieve long-term financial stability and independence from their abusive partner.^{33,44,50}



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Evidence-Based Programs for Women

- The **Community Advocacy Project (CAP)** offers advocacy services to women who have experienced IPV and to their children. Trained paraprofessionals provide assistance in obtaining community-based services and social support in an effort to empower women and reduce the likelihood of future IPV.^{57,58}
<http://www.nrepp.samhsa.gov/ViewIntervention.aspx?id=262>
- **Seeking Safety** is a cognitive-behavioral program for those with co-occurring PTSD and substance use.⁵⁷ The model provides psychoeducation, helps clients achieve safety, and develops coping skills. The program can be offered in a variety of settings and formats.⁵⁷
<http://www.nrepp.samhsa.gov/ViewIntervention.aspx?id=376>
- The **Trauma Recovery and Empowerment Model (TREM)** is a group-based program for women who have been physically or sexually abused. TREM helps women recover from trauma by teaching coping skills, fostering social support, and addressing mental health symptoms and substance use.⁵⁷
<http://www.nrepp.samhsa.gov/ViewIntervention.aspx?id=158>

Therapeutic Interventions

The best way to help children in families experiencing co-occurring substance use and IPV is to support their mothers in achieving safety and abstaining from substance use.^{10,37,44,45} Meeting the safety, mental health, and substance use treatment needs of mothers will promote more effective parenting, thereby improving outcomes for children.⁷¹

However, many children also need treatment to help them recover from the effects of their exposure to IPV, parental substance use, and possible child abuse or neglect. In these cases, it is recommended that treatment for both the mother and child occur



simultaneously.⁷¹ Providing trauma therapy for children along with parenting support and skills training for their mothers is important for meeting the needs of these children.⁷¹

Determining Children's Treatment Needs

Not all children exposed to IPV experience trauma symptoms or require mental health treatment.^{10,41,62} Whether a child needs treatment, and the type of treatment required, will depend upon characteristics such as the child's protective factors, supports, and coping skills.¹⁰

If the mother is able to effectively respond to her child's emotional or behavioral difficulties, treatment may not be needed. However, often the mother and child can benefit from trauma-specific treatment that provides parenting skills training.⁶²

It is a good idea to conduct a trauma screening on all children exposed to IPV.⁶² If the screening indicates that trauma symptoms are present, the child should be referred to a trained clinician for a comprehensive trauma assessment to determine the type of treatment needed.⁶²

- Review of Child and Adolescent Trauma Screening Tools
<http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/KatieA/ChildAdolescentTraumaScreenTools.pdf>
A great resource for screening tools

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Programs for Children and Their Parents

Programs for children and parents should have the following key goals:

- **Address trauma symptoms.** Treatment programs should focus on addressing the effects of violence and traumatic events on children's lives in a way that is developmentally and culturally appropriate and responsive to the child's individual needs and family circumstances.^{10,42,62,71} In particular, treatment should help children with affect regulation and impulse control.⁴²
- **Promote the mother-child attachment.** Programs should involve mothers in their child's treatment, if possible.⁵⁴ A secure attachment to a caregiver is a key protective factor in reducing the harmful effects of trauma exposure,^{10,41} and combined parent-child treatment can enhance this attachment.⁵⁴
- **Improve parenting.** Programs should provide parents with strategies to respond to children's trauma symptoms and difficult behaviors.^{62,71} Programs may help mothers to practice more effective supervision and discipline, to provide more structure for their children, to help their children better manage their emotions, and to improve their communication with their children.^{35,54} Increasing the quality of parenting can help alleviate children's behavioral and emotional trauma symptoms.⁵⁴
- **Strengthen children's social supports,** including their relationships with extended family, peers, teachers, and community members.^{10,37,41,54} These informal social supports are an important protective factor and can help children better handle stress.^{10,41}

- **Increase resiliency** by improving children's self-esteem (a key factor in developing effective coping strategies) and building on their individual protective factors, such as their adaptability or positive outlook.^{10,37}

Evidence-Based Programs for Children and Parents

- **Child-Parent Psychotherapy (CPP)** is a program for children between birth and 5 years of age who have experienced trauma.⁵⁷ CPP works to strengthen the attachment between the parent and child and helps the parent understand how her own experiences with trauma affect her interactions with her child.^{57,58}
<http://promising.futureswithoutviolence.org/?program=child-parent-psychotherapy-cpp>
- **Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)**, a program for children between 2 and 12 years of age who exhibit disruptive behaviors, provides coaching to parents as they interact with their children.^{68,70} Therapists speak to parents through a wireless earphone from behind a one-way mirror.⁶⁸
<http://promising.futureswithoutviolence.org/?program=parent-child-interaction-thera>
- **Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT)** offers individual sessions for children and parents, as well as joint parent-child sessions.⁴⁹ TF-CBT is designed to address trauma symptoms in children ages 3 to 18 while also providing treatment for their parents.^{66,70}
<http://promising.futureswithoutviolence.org/?program=trauma-focused-cognitive-behavioral-therapy-tf-cbt>
- More information about programs for children and families exposed to IPV can be found on the website <http://promising.futureswithoutviolence.org/>,⁷⁰ which includes information, tools, and resources for working with these families, as well as a database of evidence-based programs.



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

Whether, and to what extent, fathers should be involved in their children's treatment is a complex issue.³⁰ Fathers may present safety risks both to their children and to their children's mothers.³⁰ In many cases, however, fathers will continue to be involved in their children's lives on a regular basis.³⁰ Thus, it may be beneficial for fathers to participate in parenting programs, either in parenting groups specifically for men with histories of violence or in their children's treatment programs.³⁰ Fathers' participation in such programs may help them take responsibility for their children's emotional development.³⁰ However, it is important to consider the safety risks of involving the father in these programs, and any such involvement should be with the consent of the mother and child.³⁰ Stay tuned for our upcoming brief on engaging fathers for more information.

Conclusion

Understanding the unique and interconnected needs of families affected by co-occurring substance use and intimate partner violence is crucial in order to maintain safety for all involved. Clinicians must be alert to signs that may indicate presence of substance use and/or IPV, and integrate ongoing screening into their service provision. With an emphasis on ensuring the safety of all family members, service providers can refer affected mothers and their child(ren) to a variety of services to support mental health and substance use recovery, long-term financial stability and increased resiliency. It is unrealistic to think one agency will have the capacity to meet all of the affected families' needs. Collaboration is crucial, as is a trauma-informed response.



References

1. Bennett, L., & Bland, P. (2008). *Substance Abuse and Intimate Partner Violence*. Harrisburg, PA: National Resource Center on Domestic Violence.
2. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (1997). *Substance Abuse Treatment and Domestic Violence*. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 25. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
3. Johnson, M.P. (2009). *Where Do "Domestic Violence" Statistics Come From and Why Do They Vary So Much?* National Healthy Marriage Resource Center and National Resource Center on Domestic Violence.
4. Black, M.C., Basile, K.C., Breiding, M.J., Smith, S.G., Walters, M.L., Merrick, M.T., Chen, J., & Stevens, M.R. (2011). *National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2010 Summary Report*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control.
5. Catalano, S. (2012). *Intimate Partner Violence, 1993-2010*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
6. McDonald, R., Jouriles, E.N., Ramisetty-Mikler, S., Caetano, R., & Green, C.E. (2006). Estimating the number of American children living in partner-violent families. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20(1), 137-142.
7. Fantuzzo, J., & Fusco, R. (2007). Children's direct exposure to types of domestic violence crime: a population-based investigation. *Journal of Family Violence*, 22(7), 543-552.
8. Moore, C.G., Probst, J.C., Tompkins, M., Cuffe, S., & Martin, A.B. (2007). The prevalence of violent disagreements in US families: effects of residence, race/ethnicity, and parental stress. *Pediatrics*, 119 Suppl 1, S68-76.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2008). Adverse health conditions and health risk behaviors associated with intimate partner violence — United States, 2005. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 57(5): 113-117.
10. Holt, S., Buckley, H., & Whelan, S. (2008). The impact of exposure to domestic violence on children and young people: a review of the literature. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 32(8), 797-810.
11. Coker, A.L., Davis, K.E., Arias, I., Desai, S., Sanderson, M., Brandt, H.M., & Smith, P.H. (2002). Physical and mental health effects of intimate partner violence for men and women. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 23(4), 260-268.
12. Gazmararian, J.A., Lazorick, S., Spitz, A.M., Ballard, T.J., Saltzman, L.E., & Marks, J.S. (1996). Prevalence of violence against pregnant women: a review of the literature. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 275, 1915-1920.
13. Taillieu, T.L., & Brownridge, D.A. (2010). Violence against pregnant women: prevalence, patterns, risk factors, theories, and directions for future research. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15(1), 14-35.
14. Campbell, J. (2002). Health consequences of intimate partner violence. *The Lancet*, 359, 1331-1336.
15. Golding, J.M. (1999). Intimate partner violence as a risk factor for mental disorders: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Family Violence*, 14(2), 99-132.
16. Plichta, S.B. (2004). Intimate partner violence and physical health consequences: policy and practice implications. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(11), 1296-1323.
17. Easton, C.J. (2006). The role of substance abuse in intimate partner violence. *Psychiatric Times* 23(special report, 1), 26-27.
18. Martin, S.L., English, K.T., Clark, K.A., Cilenti, D., & Kupper, L.L. (1996). Violence and substance use among North Carolina pregnant women. *American Journal of Public Health*, 86(7), 991-998.
19. Holden, K.B., McKenzie, R., Pruitt, V., Aaron, K., & Hall, S. (2012). Depressive symptoms, substance abuse, and intimate partner violence among pregnant women of diverse ethnicities. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 23(1), 226-241.
20. McPherson, M.D., Delva, J., & Cranford, J.A. (2007). A longitudinal investigation of intimate partner violence among mothers with mental illness. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(5), 675-680.
21. Connelly, C.D., Hazen, A.L., Baker-Ericzén, M.J., Landsverk, J., & Horwitz, S.M. (2013). Is screening for depression in the perinatal period enough? The co-occurrence of depression, substance abuse, and intimate partner violence in culturally diverse pregnant women. *Journal of Women's Health*, 22(10), 844-852.
22. Friedman, S.H., & Loue, S. (2007). Incidence and prevalence of intimate partner violence by and against women with severe mental illness. *Journal of Women's Health*, 16(4), 471-480.
23. Lipsky, S., & Caetano, R. (2007). Impact of intimate partner violence on unmet need for mental health care: results from the NSDUH. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(6), 822-829.
24. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. (2003). *Costs of Intimate Partner Violence Against Women in the United States*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
25. Bennett, L., & O'Brien, P. (2007). Effects of coordinated services for drug-abusing women who are victims of intimate partner violence. *Violence Against Women*, 13(4), 395-411.
26. El-Bassel, N., Gilbert, L., Schilling, R., & Wada, T. (2000). Drug abuse and partner violence among women in methadone treatment. *Journal of Family Violence*, 15(3), 209-228.
27. Chambliss, L.R. (2008). Intimate partner violence and its implication for pregnancy. *Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 51(2), 385-397.
28. Shah, P.S., & Shah, J. (2010). Maternal exposure to domestic violence and pregnancy and birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Journal of Women's Health*, 19(11), 2017-2031.
29. Silverman, J.G., Decker, M.R., Reed, E., & Raj, A. (2006). Intimate partner violence victimization prior to and during pregnancy among women residing in 26 U.S. states: associations with maternal and neonatal health. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 195(1), 140-148.
30. Peled, E. (2000). Parenting by men who abuse women: issues and dilemmas. *British Journal of Social Work*, 30, 25-36.
31. Kohl, P.L., Edleson, J.L., English, D.J., & Barth, R.P. (2005). Domestic violence and pathways into child welfare services: findings from the National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-Being. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 27(11), 1167-1182.
32. Jouriles, E.N., McDonald, R., Slep, A.M.S., Heyman, R.E., & Garrido, E. (2008). Child abuse in the context of domestic violence: prevalence, explanations, and practice implications. *Violence and Victims*, 23(2), 221-235.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

33. ICF International. (2009). *Protecting Children in Families Affected by Substance Use Disorders*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Children's Bureau.
34. Barnard, M., & McKeganey, N. (2004). The impact of parental problem drug use on children: what is the problem and what can be done to help? *Addiction*, 99(5), 552-559.
35. Lander, L., Howsare, J., & Byrne, M. (2013). The impact of substance use disorders on families and children: from theory to practice. *Social Work in Public Health*, 28(3-4), 194-205.
36. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (2004). *Substance Abuse Treatment and Family Therapy*. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 39. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
37. Domestic Violence/Substance Abuse Interdisciplinary Task Force of the Illinois Department of Human Services. (2005). *Safety and Sobriety: Best Practices in Domestic Violence and Substance Abuse*. Springfield, IL: Illinois Department of Human Services.
40. Coker, A.L., Smith, P.H., Thompson, M.P., McKeown, R.E., Bethea, L., & Davis, K.E. (2002). Social support protects against the negative effects of partner violence on mental health. *Journal of Women's Health & Gender-Based Medicine*, 11(5), 465-475.
41. National Child Traumatic Stress Network. (2010). *Domestic Violence and Children: Questions and Answers for Domestic Violence Project Advocates*. Los Angeles, CA, and Durham, NC: Author.
42. Virginia Department of Social Services. (2012). Evidence-based treatments for childhood trauma. *Virginia Child Protection Newsletter*, 95, 1-20.
43. Price, A. & Simmel, C. (2002). *Partners' Influence on Women's Addiction and Recovery: The Connection Between Substance Abuse, Trauma, and Intimate Relationships*. Berkeley, CA: National Abandoned Infants Assistance Resource Center, University of California at Berkeley.
44. Bragg, H.L. (2003). *Child Protection in Families Experiencing Domestic Violence*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Children's Bureau.
45. BC Society of Transition Houses. (2011). *Reducing Barriers to Support for Women Fleeing Violence: A Toolkit for Supporting Women with Varying Levels of Mental Wellness and Substance Use*. Vancouver, British Columbia: Author.
46. Zweig, J.M., Schlichter, K.A., & Burt, M.R. (2002). Assisting women victims of violence who experience multiple barriers to services. *Violence Against Women*, 8(2), 162-179.
48. Roibal, H. (2013). *How Safe Are Children and Families?* Santa Fe, NM: New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department, Home Visiting Program.
49. Stover, C.S., Meadows, A.L., & Kaufman, J. (2009). Interventions for intimate partner violence: review and implications for evidence-based practice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 40(3), 223-233.
50. Shlonsky, A., Friend, C., & Lambert, L. (2007). From culture clash to new possibilities: A harm reduction approach to family violence and child protection services. *Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention*, 7(4), 345-363.
51. Mason, R., & O'Rinn, S.E. (2014). Co-occurring intimate partner violence, mental health, and substance use problems: a scoping review. *Global Health Action*, 7, 1-17.
52. Campbell, J.C. (2004). Helping women understand their risk in situations of intimate partner violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(12), 1464-1477.
54. Owen, A.E., Thompson, M.P., Shaffer, A., Jackson, E.B., & Kaslow, N.J. (2009). Family variables that mediate the relation between intimate partner violence and child adjustment. *Journal of Family Violence*, 24(7), 433-445.
55. Whitaker, D.J., Baker, C.K., & Arias, I. (2007). Interventions to prevent intimate partner violence. In: *Handbook of Injury and Violence Prevention* (pp. 183-201). L. Doll, S. Bonzo, D. Sleet, J. Mercy, & E. Hass (Eds). New York: Springer.
57. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. SAMHSA's National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices. <http://www.nrepp.samhsa.gov/>
58. California Department of Social Services, Office of Child Abuse Prevention. *California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare*. <http://www.cebc4cw.org/>
62. Chadwick Trauma-Informed Systems Project. (2013). *Creating Trauma-Informed Child Welfare Systems: A Guide for Administrators (Second Edition)*. San Diego, CA: Chadwick Center for Children and Families.



RESEARCH TO PRACTICE BRIEF

65. Huth-Bocks, A.C., & Hughes, H.M. (2008). Parenting stress, parenting behavior, and children's adjustment in families experiencing intimate partner violence. *Journal of Family Violence* 23(4): 243-251.
66. Child Welfare Information Gateway. (2012). *Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Children Affected by Sexual Abuse or Trauma*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Children's Bureau.
68. Child Welfare Information Gateway. (2013). *Parent-Child Interaction Therapy with At-Risk Families*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Children's Bureau.
69. Timmer, S.G., Ware, L.M., Urquiza, A.J., & Zebell, N.M. (2010). The effectiveness of parent-child interaction therapy for victims of interparental violence. *Violence and Victims*, 25(4), 486-503.
70. Futures Without Violence. *Promising Futures: Best Practices for Serving Children, Youth, and Parents Experiencing Domestic Violence*. <http://promising.futureswithoutviolence.org/>
71. U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Evidence-Based Practices for Children Exposed to Violence: A Selection from Federal Databases*. Washington, DC: Author.
72. Hjelm, R.T. (2014). *Facing the Facts: Impact of Exposure to Intimate Partner Violence on Children in the Home*. Richmond, VA: The Family and Children's Trust Fund of Virginia.
73. Miller, W.R., & Rollnick, S. (2012). *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change (Third Edition)*. New York: Guilford Press.
74. Crandal, B., & Conradi, L. (2013). *Review of Child and Adolescent Trauma Screening Tools*. San Diego, CA: Rady Children's Hospital, Chadwick Center for Children and Families.
75. (WOCN), The Women of Color Network. *Domestic Violence in Communities of Color*. s.l. : The Women of Color Network (WOCN), June 2006. Facts & Stats Collection.
76. Rana, S., Marin, L. (2012). *Addressing Domestic Violence in Immigrant Communities: Critical Issues for Culturally Competent Services*. [prod.] VAWnet. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania : A project of the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence.
77. Anderson T.R., Aviles A.M. (2006). *Diverse Faces of Domestic Violence*. ABNF Journal, Vol. 17, pp. 129-132.



The National Abandoned Infants Assistance Resource Center's mission is to enhance the quality of social and health services delivered to children who are abandoned or at risk of abandonment due to the presence of drugs and/or HIV in the family by providing training, information, support, and resources to service providers who assist these children and their families. The Resource Center is located at the University of California at Berkeley, and is a service of the Children's Bureau.

AUTHOR:

Hannah Dodd, Graduate Student Researcher, NAIARC

The author wishes to thank Twyla Wilson and the office of Stephanie Covington who reviewed and contributed ideas to this publication.

